

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of California-American Water Company (U210W) for Approval of the Monterey Peninsula Water Supply Project and Authorization to Recover All Present and Future Costs in Rates.

Application 12-04-019 (Filed April 23, 2012)

### (NOT CONSOLIDATED)

Application of California-American Water Company (U-210W) for Authority to Modify Conservation and Rationing Rules, Rate Design, and Other Related Issues for the Monterey District.

Application 15-07-019 (Filed July 14, 2015)

# ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING DIRECTING PARTIES TO PROPOSE REVISED SCHEDULE

# **Summary**

Evidentiary hearings are set in Application (A.) 12-04-019 for April 14-15, 2016. Evidentiary hearings are set in A.15-07-019 for April 11-15, 2016. Parties shall file and serve within ten days of the date of this ruling a proposed revised schedule for either or both proceedings to eliminate this conflict. Parties shall use best efforts to present a joint proposal.

## **Background**

The November 17, 2015 Administrative Law Judge's Ruling in A.12-04-019 adopted dates for evidentiary hearing using the recommendation in the October 20, 2015 Joint Proposal to Complete Record for Phase 1 and Phase 2 signed by sixteen parties. (See November 17, 2015 Ruling at 2, 11-12.) The November 4, 2015 assigned Commissioner's Scoping Memo and Ruling in

158449575 - 1 -

A.15-07-019 adopted dates for evidentiary hearing using the recommendation in the September 3, 2015 Joint Prehearing Conference Statement signed by eight parties. (See November 4, 2015 Ruling at 2-3, 8, Attachment 1.)

#### **Discussion**

The schedule in one or both proceedings must be revised. The Commission would like to hear parties' recommendations before adopting necessary revisions. The recommendations should address the dates for evidentiary hearings, as well as any other necessary or appropriate schedule revisions. Parties should make every reasonable effort to coordinate their recommendations so they may file and serve a joint proposal. If unable to agree in all respects, parties may file and serve separate comments that join in as much of the joint proposal as possible, but separately state their alternative recommendations and proposals.

IT IS RULED that, within ten days of the date of this ruling, parties shall file and serve proposed revised schedules to eliminate current scheduling conflicts in these two proceedings. The proposals shall include further revisions to the schedules as appropriate and necessary. Parties shall make every reasonable effort to coordinate and present a joint proposal. If unable to agree in all respects, parties may file and serve separate comments that join in as much of the joint proposal as possible, but separately state their alternative recommendations and proposals.

Dated February 11, 2016, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ GARY WEATHERFORD
Gary Weatherford
Administrative Law Judge